

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/435,748	11/08/1999	JAMES P. BUCKLEY	N19.12-0028	5623		
24113	7590 09/06/2002					
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.			EXAMINER			
80 SOUTH 8	4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			RUTHKOSKY, MARK		
MINNEAPOI	LIS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1745	(6		
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002	:		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		N	A.J	16
11th	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7	+
Advisory Action	09/435,748	BUCKLEY ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit		
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc ac	dress	
THE REPLY FILED 7/26/2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in	ed
4 PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing d				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T	re later than SIX MONTHS from the maili AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final reje HE FINAL REJECTION	ction. N. See MPE	P
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perion fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Commonly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding am of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriately originally set in the fin	propriate ex al Office act	ktension ion; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:			
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below)	<del>,</del>	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);			
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying	g the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.	
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s): <u>None</u> .			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendn	ment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does N	OT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			l and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-44 and 52-54</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.	
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	,		
10.		• • •		
	a	Mhm		
	CARC PRIMAR	PL CHANEY Y EXAMINER		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As claimed, the references read upon the instant claims. The primary reference teaches films of less than 10 microns and further, less than 500 nm. The examiner also disagrees that the sputtering process does not produce individual molecules. Further, the supporting reference teaches individual particles with a diameter of less than 500 nm which one of ordinary skill in the art can combine to form a thin film electrode of less than 10 microns.